

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 LAUSTEVEION JOHNSON,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 DAVID WILLIS, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:17-cv-01121-APG-EJY

Order Denying Motions *in Limine*

[ECF Nos. 104, 110, 115]

8 The parties have filed motions *in limine* without complying with Local Rule 16-3(a).
9 Under that rule, a motion *in limine* “will not be considered unless the movant attaches a
10 statement certifying that the parties have participated in the meet-and-confer process and have
11 been unable to resolve the matter without court action.”

12 I THEREFORE ORDER that the parties’ motions *in limine* (ECF Nos. 104, 110, 115)
13 are **DENIED without prejudice.**

14 DATED this 27th day of July, 2022.

15 

16 ANDREW P. GORDON
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23